

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
WESTERN DIVISION

KATHY R. ALLEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARTHUR L. ALLEN, *deceased*, MARY
FLAGLER ALLEN, STEVE R. ALLEN,
ANTHONY A. KLISH, C. JORDAN GODWIN,
JUDGE CHRIS DILLON, JUDGE TOBIAS
HAMPSON, JUDGE JEFFERSON GRIFFIN,
GRANT E. BUCKNER, and
HENSON & FUERST P.A.,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT

5:24-CV-320-BO-RJ

Decision by Court.

This matter is before the Court on multiple motions to dismiss, motions for extensions of time in which to file responses or pleadings, a motion for default judgment, and a motion to submit the case to the pro bono panel. Further, the Court will *sua sponte* conduct frivolity review.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the motion to dismiss filed by Judge Chris Dillon, Judge Tobias Hampson, Judge Jefferson Griffin, and Grant Buckner [DE 25] is GRANTED. The motions to dismiss filed by Jordon Godwin and Henson & Feurst, P.A. [DE 23], and Anthony Klish [DE 34 & DE 49], are GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's motion to submit the case to the pro bono panel [DE 18] is DENIED. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to serve Klish [DE 37] is DENIED AS MOOT. Plaintiff's motion to join SECU and Cathleen Plaut [DE 19] is DENIED. Plaintiff's motion to compel [DE 20] is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the motions for an extension of time filed by Klish [DE 17 and 40] are GRANTED. Plaintiff's motions for an extension of time in which to file responsive pleadings [DE 31, 32, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 54, 47, 48, 51 and 52] are DENIED. Plaintiff's motions to exceed the word count [DE 61, 62, and 66] are DENIED AS MOOT. Plaintiff's motion for the entry of default judgment against Defendants Mary Flager Allen and Steve R. Allen [DE 22] is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the claims against Defendants Judge Chris Dillion, Judge Tobias Hampson, Judge Jefferson Griffin, Grant Buckner, C. Jordon Godwin, Anthony Klish, and Henson & Fuerst, P.A. are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. Having conducted a *sua sponte* frivolity review in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the Court determines that Plaintiff's complaint is meritless and does not state a claim upon which relief may be granted, and that granting leave to amend would be futile. Accordingly, the Court DISMISSES this action in its entirety.

This case is closed.

This judgment filed and entered on March 31, 2025, and served on:

Patricia Shields (via CM/ECF NEF)
Elizabeth O'Brien (via CM/ECF NEF)
Edward Maginnis (via CM/ECF NEF)
Anthony Klish (via CM/ECF NEF)
Chris Carreiro (via CM/ECF NEF)
Kathy R. Allen (via CM/ECF NEF)

March 31, 2025

PETER A. MOORE, JR., CLERK

/s/ Lindsay Stouch

By: Deputy Clerk